

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

| APPLICATION NO.                           | F    | ILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR             | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|---|------|--------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/056,120                                |      | 01/24/2002   | Petrus Johannes Antonius Linssen | NL 010085           | NL 010085 8059  |  |
| 24737                                     | 7590 | 03/10/2004   |                                  | EXAM                | EXAMINER        |  |
|   |      | CTUAL PROPER | SANTIAGO, MARICELI               |                     |                 |  |
| P.O. BOX 3001<br>BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 105 |      | R. NY 10510  | 0                                | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
|   |      | <b>,</b>     |                                  | 2879                |                 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |
|--|---|---|--|
|  | 10/056,120  | LINSSEN, PETRUS JOHANNES                            |  |
| Advisory Action  |   | ANTONIUS  |  |
|  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |
|  | Mariceli Santiago   | 2879  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add                                   | ress                                   |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment which  | ation. A proper reply n places the applica          | y to a<br>tion in                      |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | PLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   | e of the final rejection.   |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing   | g date of the final rejection                       | on.                                    |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a). | of extension and the corresponding amount<br>the shortened statutory period for reply<br>the later than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final | opriate extension<br>Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF   |   |   |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | ecause:   |   |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further   | er consideration and/or search (s   | see NOTE below);                                    | •                                      |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b   | elow);  |   |  |
| (c)  they are not deemed to place the application in<br>issues for appeal; and/or  | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or sin                              | nplifying the                          |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling   | ng a corresponding number of fi   | nally rejected claims                               | S.                                     |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |   |   |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | ion(s):   |   |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a se   | parate, timely filed                                | amendment                              |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:   | reconsideration has been consideration.   | dered but does NO                                   | Γ place the                            |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  |   | o issues which were                                 | enewly                                 |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we   | (s) a) will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo  |   | ind an                                 |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   | • •   |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:   |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |   |  |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr  | oved or b) disapproved by the   | ne Examiner.  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen   |   |   |  |
| 10.  Other:  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | - <del></del>                                       |  |
| <del></del>  |   | _   |  |
|  |   | 3/8/1   | 04                                     |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

- T

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations in amended claim 1, particularly the recitations "which is suitable for use with different respective carriers which respective carriers have external contact elements which are located at different respective spacings from saîd lamp when said lamp is in a mounting position on a carrier" and "to a degree sufficient to accommodate said different respective spacings at which said external contact elements are located on respective carriers" raise new issues that require further consideration and/or search.

VIP PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER